DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 114 125 IR 002 762

AUTHOR Pruitt, Gary K.; Dieterly, Duncan L.
TITLE Digital Avionics Information System Preliminary Life-Cycle-Cost Analysis. Final Report (November 1974-May 1975).
INSTITUTION Air Force Human Resources Lab., Brooks AFB, Texas.
REPORT NO AFHRL-TR-75-34
PUB DATE Sep 75
NOTE 68p.

EDRS PRICE MF-$0.76 HC-$3.32 Plus Postage
DESCRIPTORS Aerospace Industry; *Aviation Technology; Cost Effectiveness; *Information Systems; Mathematical Applications; *Models; Operations Research; Program Costs; Program Evaluation; Systems Analysis
IDENTIFIERS DAIS; Digital Avionics Information System

ABSTRACT

The results of a study to evaluate the potential life-cycle costs and cost savings that could be realized by applying the Digital Avionics Information System (DAIS) concept to future avionic systems were presented. The tasks evaluated included selection of program elements for costing, selection of DAIS installation potential, definition of a life-cycle-cost model, data collection and execution of the model. The results of the analysis indicated that the DAIS approach to avionics integration provided the potential for significant savings. The cost benefits that could be derived from the various DAIS-concept elements for the different life-cycle phases were described. Several tables of data, a bibliography, and a glossary of terms are appended. (Author/HB)

**********
* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *
**********

AFHRL-TR-75-34

AIR FORCE

HUMAN RESOURCES

LABORATORY

ED114125

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

# DIGITAL AVIONICS INFORMATION SYSTEM PRELIMINARY LIFE-CYCLE-COST ANALYSIS

By

Gary K. Pruitt
ARINC Research Corporation
2551 Riva Road
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Duncan L. Dieterly, Major, USAF

ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

September 1975
Final Report for Period November 1974 – May 1975

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

SCOPE OF INTEREST NOTICE
The ERIC Facility has assigned this document for processing to: I.R CE
In our judgement, this document is also of interest to the clearinghouses noted to the right. Indexing should reflect their special points of view.

IR 008 762



AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
BROOKS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 78235

## NOTICE

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This final report was submitted by ARINC Research Corporation, 2251 Riva Road, Annapolis, Maryland 21401, under contract F09603-74-A-0844-SG01, project 2051, with Advanced Systems Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. Major D.L. Dieterly, Personnel and Training Requirements Branch, was the contract monitor.

This report has been reviewed and cleared for open publication and/or public release by the appropriate Office of Information (OI) in accordance with AFR 190-17 and DoDD 5230.9. There is no objection to unlimited distribution of this report to the public at large, or by DDC to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

GORDON A. ECKSTRAND, Director
Advanced Systems Division

Approved for publication.

HAROLD E. FISCHER, Colonel, USAF
Commander

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER
AFHRL-TR-75-34

2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.

3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER

4. TITLE (and Subtitle)
DIGITAL AVIONICS INFORMATION SYSTEM
PRELIMINARY LIFE-CYCLE-COST ANALYSIS

5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Final
November 1974 – May 1975

6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER

7. AUTHOR(s)
Gary K. Pruitt
Duncan L. Dieterly

8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
F09603-74-A-0844-SG01

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS
ARINC Research Corporation
2551 Riva Road
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
63243F
20510003

11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS
Hq Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFSC)
Brooks Air Force Base, Texas 78235

12. REPORT DATE
September 1975

13. NUMBER OF PAGES
76

14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)
Advanced Systems Division
Air Force Human Resources Laboratory
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Unclassified

15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)
Digital Avonics Information System (DAIS)
life cycle cost
design to cost
cost model
Avionics
operational and support cost
predicting cost
cost estimates

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

A mathematical model was developed and exercised to evaluate the life-cycle costs of avionics developed according to the Digital Avionics Information System (DAIS) approach. The objective was to provide an initial estimate, based on available data, of the costs and cost savings associated with the DAIS concept. A comparative analysis was performed to estimate the relative costs of the avionics of four different aircraft types for both conventional and DAIS configurations. The results of this analysis were intended only to provide a perspective of the relative costs of DAIS and conventional avionics systems; they do not necessarily represent the true costs that may be encountered in an operational environment.

4

(OVER)

Item 20 (Continued)

The depth and accuracy of the estimates made in this report are necessarily restricted by the available data and the limited scope of the study. The DAIS costs are preliminary estimates or projections supplied by the DAIS Program Office at the Air Force Avionics Laboratory (AFAL). The data for the conventional avionics were extracted from many sources, including the Increase Reliability of Operational System (IROS) data system. Therefore, interpretation of the analyses contained in this report should be restricted to an assessment of the relative cost of the avionics integration approaches that have been addressed.

Because of limitations on the availability of data, only a qualitative assessment of the effects of applying the DAIS concept could be made for the cost categories of research and development, installation, support equipment, training equipment, and technical documentation.

## SUMMARY

This report presents the results of a study to evaluate the potential life-cycle costs and cost savings that could be realized by applying the Digital Avionics Information System (DAIS) concept to future avionic systems. The tasks included selection of program elements for costing, selection of DAIS installation potential, definition of a life-cycle-cost model, data collection, and execution of the model.

Modern military avionics have become increasingly complex and sophisticated, and the costs of procuring and maintaining these systems have risen significantly. Avionic systems have traditionally been procured as autonomous units, with little commonality between different aircraft types. Each new aircraft procurement has resulted in a proliferation of unique systems, resulting in high avionics support costs throughout the life of the aircraft. The Digital Avionics Information System (DAIS) concept is intended to reverse the adverse cost-growth characteristic and provide maximum commonality and flexibility between aircraft avionic systems.

Cost pressures from increased system complexity, higher maintenance expenditures, and general economic inflation have mandated that the full life-cycle cost of an avionic system, from research and development through disposal, be examined before the system is chosen to be integrated into a weapon system. New avionic systems must meet current mission requirements, yet provide the growth capability and flexibility to absorb new technology and to respond to changing missions and operational requirements without the need for a costly major redesign. The DAIS concept is intended to meet these needs by providing the following:

- The ability to meet new mission requirements, primarily by means of software rather than hardware changes
- Increased mission reliability through the use of redundancy and fault-tolerant systems
- The flexibility of adding or changing sensors without rewiring the aircraft
- Commonality between aircraft types, with a reduction in logistic requirements
- Maximum use of modular design in both hardware and software

The results of the analysis described in this report indicate that the DAIS approach to avionics integration provides the potential for significant savings. Because of limitations on the availability of data, only a qualitative assessment of the effects of applying the DAIS concept could be made for the cost categories of Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E), installation, support equipment, training equipment, and technical documentation. The cost benefits that could be derived from the various DAIS-concept elements for the different life-cycle phases are described as follows:

- *Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E).* After an initial avionics development program, the only RDT&E funds that would be required for incorporation of DAIS into additional aircraft would be for the avionic sensor functions unique for those aircraft. These savings may be offset in part by software expenditures.
- *Acquisition.* The commonality between aircraft types as offered by the DAIS approach will permit commitments to large production lots. This will reduce the unit acquisition price through economies of scale. The avionics acquisition cost depends on the effects of procurement factors such as production-lot size, competition, learning-curve adjustments, and procurement philosophy (including application of warranties, form-fit-function specifications, or design-to-cost goals).
- *Installation.* The utilization of a time-division multiplexed data bus should result in significant cost and weight savings in the wiring of the avionic system. In addition, modifications to or additions of subsystems can be accomplished without rewiring the aircraft, resulting in further cost savings and airframe versatility.
- *Spares.* A module-removal maintenance concept greatly reduces the cost of base and pipeline spares from that associated with the removal of "black boxes" (Line Replaceable Units).
- *On-Equipment Maintenance.* The projected built-in-test capabilities of the DAIS system will reduce the costs associated with on-equipment maintenance by reducing the man-hours required for troubleshooting the system for corrective or preventive maintenance.
- *Off-Equipment Maintenance.* A disposable-module maintenance philosophy, coupled with a comprehensive built-in-test capability, will minimize the maintenance man-hours for off-equipment maintenance.
- *Support Equipment.* The costs associated with the acquisition and operation of the support equipment required to maintain a system of avionics should be reduced for a DAIS-configured aircraft because of the comprehensive built-in-test capabilities of the system. This cost advantage would increase with the number of aircraft types in which DAIS was incorporated because the quantity of support equipments required would be reduced. The actual requirements for support equipment depend on the maintenance

philosophy, but a minimization of different module types will minimize support-equipment requirements for either the module-removal or black-box-removal approach.

- *Training.* Application of the DAIS concept to aircraft avionics should reduce the costs of personnel training because of the benefits arising from the avionics commonality between aircraft. The training function could be centralized to a large extent since the basic avionic system would be the same for all aircraft types.
- *Technical Documentation.* Incorporation of DAIS avionics into a mix of aircraft would offer a significant benefit relative to the cost of developing technical documentation. After manuals for the first aircraft have been developed, the avionics commonality between aircraft would reduce the requirement for development of new technical material to those functional units unique to a weapon system.

The fundamental concept of avionics commonality could provide substantial cost reductions throughout the Air Force by centralizing both direct and indirect support of operational units. Uniformity of avionics hardware would permit minimizing the number of maintenance, supply, technical support, and management facilities required to support the aircraft, and all phases of system support would be streamlined.

It should be noted that the DAIS approach incorporates several architectural and maintenance concepts and that many of the cost benefits described above could be realized without implementing the full DAIS package. Therefore, any cost savings that DAIS offers over the conventional approach to avionics integration will be limited to those cost categories for which DAIS offers unique capabilities.

The data presented in this report indicate that the DAIS avionics may have a higher acquisition cost than currently operational systems ($750,190 per aircraft vs. $575,983 for a Close Air Support configuration). However, the DAIS avionics have a lower total life-cycle cost because of improved reliability and maintainability ($858,795 per aircraft vs. $1,153,984 for a Close Air Support configuration). These figures are based on the current Air Force maintenance philosophy of black-box removal at the flight-line level. The DAIS logistic support costs could be further reduced by adopting a flight-line module-removal maintenance philosophy.

An examination of life-cycle costs for a mix of Close Air Support, Transport, Fighter, and Bomber aircraft showed a total potential life-cycle cost saving of $1.2 billion through incorporation of the DAIS concept. A best-case analysis was performed for the Close Air Support configuration to establish a lower bound for the analysis. This analysis, presented in Section 2.5.5, was based on best-case values of cost and reliability for DAIS and conventional avionics. The results indicated that, on the basis of the costs examined, the DAIS concept offers no apparent cost advantage.

CONTENTS


| | Page |
|---|---|
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| SUMMARY | v |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Technical Approach | 5 |
| 1.2.1 Selection of Program Elements for Costing | 5 |
| 1.2.2 Selection of DAIS Installation Potential | 5 |
| 1.2.3 Definition of the Life-Cycle-Cost Model | 6 |
| 1.2.4 Collection of Cost-Parameter Data | 6 |
| CHAPTER TWO: ANALYSIS OF DAIS COSTS | 7 |
| 2.1 Baseline Costs of Laboratory Hot-Bench | 7 |
| 2.2 Software Costs | 7 |
| 2.3 Effects of Lot Size on Acquisition Cost | 10 |
| 2.4 Adaptation of the Life-Cycle-Cost Model for DAIS | 11 |
| 2.5 Evaluation of DAIS Avionics Life-Cycle Cost for a Close Air Support Configuration | 14 |
| 2.5.1 Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) Cost | 14 |
| 2.5.2 Acquisition Cost | 14 |
| 2.5.3 Installation Cost | 16 |
| 2.5.4 Logistic Support Cost | 16 |
| 2.5.5 Total Life-Cycle Cost | 18 |
| 2.6 Evaluation of DAIS Costs for a Mix of Future Aircraft | 21 |
| 2.6.1 Research and Development | 23 |
| 2.6.2 Installation | 23 |
| 2.6.3 Acquisition | 23 |
| 2.6.4 Logistic Support Cost | 25 |
| CHAPTER THREE: QUALITATIVE EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL DAIS COST BENEFITS | 27 |
| 3.1 Reduced Vulnerability to Jamming | 27 |
| 3.2 Increased Mission Reliability | 27 |
| 3.3 Increased Survivability | 27 |

CONTENTS (continued)


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 3.4 | Ease of Laboratory Evaluation | 28 |
| 3.5 | Ease of Integrating New Technology | 28 |
| 3.6 | Reduction of Inventories | 28 |
| 3.7 | Material and Management Centralization | 28 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: | CONCLUSIONS | 31 |
| 4.1 | Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation | 31 |
| 4.2 | Acquisition | 31 |
| 4.3 | Installation | 31 |
| 4.4 | Operation and Maintenance | 32 |
| 4.5 | Support Equipment | 32 |
| 4.6 | Training | 32 |
| 4.7 | Documentation | 33 |
| 4.8 | General Conclusions | 33 |
| APPENDIX A: | GENERAL FORM OF LIFE-CYCLE-COST MODEL | A-1 |
| APPENDIX B: | DATA SOURCES | B-1 |
| APPENDIX C: | DATA FOR CONVENTIONAL AVIONICS | C-1 |
| APPENDIX D: | DAIS COST DATA | D-1 |
| APPENDIX E: | BIBLIOGRAPHY | E-1 |
| APPENDIX F: | GLOSSARY OF TERMS | F-1 |

# CHAPTER ONE

# INTRODUCTION

This is the final report on Task SG01, performed by ARINC Research under Contract F09603-74-A-0844 with the U.S. Air Force Human Resources Laboratory. The period of performance was 15 November 1974 through 31 May 1975.

## 1.1 BACKGROUND

Modern military avionic systems have become increasingly complex and sophisticated, and the costs of procuring and maintaining them have risen significantly. Avionic systems have traditionally been procured as autonomous units, with little degree of commonality between different aircraft types. Each new aircraft procurement has resulted in a proliferation of unique systems, resulting in high support costs throughout the life cycle of the aircraft. The Digital Avionics Information System (DAIS) concept is intended to reverse this adverse cost-growth characteristic and provide maximum commonality and flexibility between aircraft avionic systems.

The DAIS approach to avionics will draw heavily on recent advances in information-system technology. The software package will integrate the avionic elements into a functional system and provide the flexibility to add new subsystems without rewiring the aircraft. The DAIS avionics will consist of four principal elements:

1. A set of sensors to provide avionic parameters
2. An information data bus that distributes signals between system elements in a common format, using time-division multiplexing
3. An information-processing system that performs data processing and storage
4. An information presentation and control system whose functions can be tailored to fit specific mission requirements

A conventional avionics configuration is shown in Figure 1-1. The subsystems indicated are typical of a Close Air Support aircraft. The primary characteristic of interest of the conventional avionics suite




*Figure 1-1.* Conventional Avionics Configuration

is the independence of each of the equipments. There is only a small degree of information exchange between subsystems, and there is a significant amount of functional redundancy. Computers, controls, and displays are dedicated to one subsystem; and interconnection between Line Replaceable Units (LRUs) requires the use of relatively complex and bulky cabling.

A DAIS avionics configuration is shown in Figure 1-2. The basic contrast between DAIS and conventional avionics integration is the partitioning of the DAIS avionics suite into functionally related subsystems. Information flow over the multiplexed data bus is controlled by the processors and the bus controller units. The avionic sensors provide basic parametric data, which are processed and displayed under the control of the system software. The displays and controls are partitioned into dedicated and time-shared units as dictated by mission requirements.

The DAIS concept offers the potential for significant cost savings by providing a centralized program to take advantage of available state-of-the-art technology. Cost pressures from increased system complexity, higher maintenance cost, and general economic inflation have mandated that the full life-cycle cost of an avionic system, from research and development through disposal, be examined before it is chosen to be integrated into a weapon system. New avionic systems must meet current mission requirements, yet provide the growth capability and flexibility to absorb new technology and respond to changing missions and operational requirements without a costly major redesign. The DAIS concept is intended to meet these requirements by providing the following:

- The ability to meet new mission requirements primarily by means of software rather than hardware changes
- Increased mission reliability through the use of redundancy and fault-tolerant systems
- The flexibility of adding or changing sensors without rewiring the aircraft
- Commonality between aircraft types, with a reduction in logistic requirements
- Maximum use of modular design in both hardware and software

The purpose of the study reported on herein was to develop and exercise a mathematical model to evaluate the life-cycle costs of the DAIS approach to avionics. The objective was to provide an initial estimate, based on available data, of the potential costs and cost savings associated with the DAIS concept. A comparative analysis was performed to estimate the relative costs of the avionics of four different aircraft types for both conventional and DAIS configurations.




*Figure 1-2.* DAIS AVIONICS CONFIGURATION

## 1.2 TECHNICAL APPROACH

In order to evaluate the potential impact of the application of the DAIS concept to the life-cycle cost of a system of avionics, a mathematical model was developed that would utilize reliability, maintainability, and operational data to provide a means of analyzing the costs associated with the procurement and maintenance of these avionics. The scope of the study was limited to communication, Identify Friend or Foe (IFF), navigation, bombing navigation, and search radar systems, and their associated displays and controls, to provide a baseline for comparing the costs of several different aircraft configurations. The following paragraphs outline the specific approach used to define the full scope and depth of the analysis.

### 1.2.1 Selection of Program Elements for Costing

The initial step in the effort was to define the elements to be considered in the DAIS life-cycle-cost estimate. Where possible, these cost elements were included in a mathematical model to assess the quantitative impact of each on the total system cost. The following cost categories were addressed quantitatively:

- Acquisition
- Initial and replacement spares
- On-equipment maintenance
- Off-equipment maintenance
- Personnel training
- Management data

Because of limitations on the availability of data, only a qualitative assessment of the effects of applying the DAIS concept to avionic systems could be made for the following cost categories:

- Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E)
- Installation
- Support equipment
- Training equipment
- Technical documentation

### 1.2.2 Selection of DAIS Installation Potential

The cost that will be incurred by the application of the DAIS concept to avionic systems depends on the degree of incorporation of DAIS into various aircraft types. The specific configuration of DAIS avionic systems, in terms of the number and types of functions performed, will vary in accordance with the aircraft installation. Therefore, several general types of aircraft were considered in order to evaluate the full life-cycle-cost implications. The avionics of aircraft currently active in the Air Force

inventory were chosen as a baseline for a comparative analysis between the costs associated with conventional avionics and those associated with the incorporation of the DAIS concept into these aircraft. The following types and quantities of aircraft were considered in the analysis:

| Type | Quantity |
|---|---|
| Close Air Support | 500 |
| Transport | 300 |
| Fighter | 1000 |
| Bomber | 500 |

### 1.2.3 Definition of the Life-Cycle-Cost Model

The model chosen to evaluate the DAIS concept is a deterministic model encompassing the most significant categories of life-cycle cost. The data elements required for this model consisted of acquisition, reliability, maintenance, and support parameters that defined the experience of a system in its operational environment. The general form of the model, which is an adaptation of the Air Force Logistics Command life-cycle-cost model, is shown in Appendix A.

### 1.2.4 Collection of Cost-Parameter Data

A compilation of the data sources used in this study is presented in Appendix B. The reliability, maintainability, and cost parameters required for the model were collected from various Air Force and Department of Defense sources. The primary source of base-level data for the conventional avionics was the Increase Reliability of Operational Systems (IROS) reports. Depot-level data for these avionics were extracted from the "DoD Cost and Production Report". The cost data for evaluation of the DAIS concept were provided by the DAIS Program Office at the Air Force Avionics Laboratory.

*CHAPTER TWO*

# ANALYSIS OF DAIS COSTS

The life-cycle costs associated with the DAIS approach to avionics integration were examined by using data estimates provided by the Air Force Avionics Laboratory, the Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, and the Air Force Logistics Command. These costs were then compared with estimated life-cycle costs for conventional avionics by using data from the IROS data system. A Close Air Support avionics configuration was examined in depth, and the analysis was then extended to consider a mix of aircraft types.

## 2.1 BASELINE COSTS OF LABORATORY HOT-BENCH

The costs associated with the DAIS laboratory mock-up were examined to provide a baseline for estimating costs of incorporating DAIS avionics into operational aircraft. The available information is based on preliminary estimates and may be subject to some variations as dictated by program requirements. System elements that will be integrated into a Close Air Support (CAS) avionic hot-bench are shown in Table 2-1.* Other hot-bench requirements which are essential for evaluating the concept but may or may not be indicative of CAS requirements are shown in Appendix D.

## 2.2 SOFTWARE COSTS

A major ingredient in the DAIS approach to avionics is the dependence of the system on the software package. Software will make the difference between a collection of equipments on the one hand and an integrated system on the other. It is expected that the proposed architecture for DAIS will overcome many of the problems traditionally associated with system software. An integrated development plan will reduce overall software costs while increasing program reliability.

An advantage of the DAIS software package will be the extensive fault-detection capability offered by the Central Integrated Test System (CITS). This system will provide fully automated functional testing from preflight

---

*Data for this table were supplied by AFAL/AAD.

*Table 2-1.* HARDWARE FOR DAIS CLOSE AIR SUPPORT HOT-BENCH

| Equipment | Total Development Quantity | Estimated Unit Cost ($ Thousands) | Estimated Number for CAS System | CAS Prototype Cost ($ Thousands) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Computer with 32K Memory | 7 | 65 | 4 | 260 |
| Remote Terminals | 23 | 35 | 15 | 525 |
| Bus Controller | 6 | 10 | 4 | 40 |
| Video Switch/ Refresh Memory | 2 | 100 | 1 | 100 |
| Scan Converter | 2 | 100 | 1 | 100 |
| Programmable Display Generator* | 3 | 250<br>200 | 2 | 400 |
| Multi-Function Keyboard | 2 | 20 | 1 | 20 |
| Multi-Function Control Panel | 3 | 4.3 | 2 | 8.6 |
| Vertical Situation Display | 2 | 20 | 1 | 20 |
| 3 Multi-Purpose Displays and Horizontal Situation Displays | 2 sets | 40 | 1 set | 40 |
| Head-Up Display | 2 | 25 | 1 | 25 |
| Hand Controller | 2 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| Dedicated Control and Display Group | 2 | 20 | 1 | 20 |
| Total Cost of Unique DAIS Prototype Hardware ($ Thousands) | | | | 1,568.6 |

*One at $250,000 and two at $200,000.

through postflight, utilizing information furnished by the various system elements. The DAIS/CITS provides the potential for decreasing system costs by the following means:

- Reducing amount and complexity of flight-line Aeronautical Ground Equipment (AGE)
- Reducing system/subsystem testing time
- Reducing test-personnel skill requirements
- Reducing logistic support effort
- Increasing aircraft availability, thereby reducing number of aircraft required to maintain a given force requirement

Estimates of the costs of DAIS Mission software for a Close Air Support configuration are shown in Table 2-2. These estimates are based on current projections of CAS programming requirements and assume the utilization of a higher-order language (such as JOVIAL J73). The use of a higher-order language will result in easier maintenance of software, more flexibility, more reliability in the coding, and shorter development time.

*Table 2-2.* SUMMARY OF DAIS CLOSE AIR SUPPORT SOFTWARE*

| Software Function | Number of Instructions and Data Words | Cost |
|---|---|---|
| Executive | 8,000 | $ 411,600 |
| Navigation | 5,939 | 108,512 |
| Weapon Delivery | 6,534 | 111,552 |
| ECM** | 534 | 11,591 |
| Control/Display Management | 3,663 | 103,425 |
| Flight Control | 496 | 12,482 |
| Management | 7,838 | 190,359 |
| Communications | 991 | 23,114 |
| Subroutines | 3,174 | 63,436 |
| Totals | 37,169 | $1,036,071 |
| Average Cost per Instruction = $28 | | |

*Data source 7, Appendix B.
**Although ECM hardware was not included in this study, this portion of the mission software was included as an integral part of the software package.

System software has historically proven to be expensive and often unreliable. For example, software costs per instruction approximating $75 for development and $4000 for maintenance were cited by Jacques S. Gansler, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installations and Logistics (Materiel Acquisition) in a recent speech to the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics. In consequence, this area involves the greatest risk with regard to incorporation of the DAIS concept into future avionic systems. The acquisition and maintenance of software has equaled or exceeded hardware costs in some avionic programs. The data supplied by AFAL/AAD show the projected cost of the DAIS Mission software to be relatively small when amortized over a number of aircraft. However, in view of past experience, the DAIS software costs should be closely monitored to ensure that other potential cost benefits offered by DAIS are not canceled by increases in software acquisition or maintenance costs.

Table 2-2 indicates the relative magnitude of DAIS software costs. These costs are considered part of the total development cost and are not included in the quantitative cost comparisons presented in Section 2.5.

## 2.3 EFFECTS OF LOT SIZE ON ACQUISITION COST

It is generally recognized that as the production-lot size of a purchase increases, the economies of scale will dictate that the unit price decrease. A simple model can be used to evaluate the effects of lot size:

$$C_p = A(P/P^*)^a$$

where

$C_p$ = price per unit of production lot

A = unit price based on a known reference production lot

P* = a reference production-lot size

P = production-lot size under consideration

a = negative constant

This model is limited to evaluation of the effect of lot size on unit price. The nonrecurring costs (those associated with amortized research and development, production planning, and production test equipment) are not accounted for; but these costs are usually amortized over the first production quantity or paid for by funds not associated with acquisition.

To determine the value of the exponent a, an assessment must be made of the impact of a given increase in lot size. For purposes of this study, we will assume that a twofold increase in lot size (P = 2P*) will result in a 10-percent decrease in the unit price of the equipments. The derivation of the value of a then follows:

$$0.90 = (P/P^*)^a$$

$$0.90 = (2.0)^a \qquad a = -\frac{\ln 0.90}{\ln 2.00} \qquad a = -0.1520$$

The economies of scale as calculated by the model described above are defined by production-lot-size curves. Thus a 10-percent reduction in cost for a twofold increase in production-lot size is defined as a 90-percent production curve (P.C.). The effects of lot size are shown in Figure 2-1. The points along these curves yield the cost of the last unit for a given lot size. The average cost per unit is given by the expression:

$$\text{Average unit cost} = \frac{A(P/P^*)^a}{a+1}$$

## 2.4 ADAPTATION OF THE LIFE-CYCLE-COST MODEL FOR DAIS

The logistic support portion of the life-cycle-cost model described in Appendix A was adapted to correspond to the parameters available for evaluation of DAIS costs and to permit analysis of the effects of different maintenance concepts on the life-cycle cost. The model given below has the flexibility to evaluate combinations of three distinct maintenance scenarios: black-box (LRU) removal, repairable-module removal, and throwaway-module removal.

$C_1$ = Initial and replacement spares cost

$$C_1 = \left[AD + \sqrt{2.3}\,AD\right]\left[(LRR)(UC_L) + (1 - LRR)(1 - TM)\,RMC\right] + \left[\frac{(TFFH)(COND)}{MFTBMA_S}\right]\left[(LRR)(UC_L) + (1 - LRR)(1 - TM)(RMC)\right]$$

$C_2$ = On-equipment maintenance costs

$$C_2 = \left[BLR\right]\left[\frac{(TFFH)(IMH + RMH)}{MFTBMA_S}\right]$$

$C_3$ = Off-equipment maintenance costs

$$C_3 = \left[\frac{(TFFH)(LRR)}{MFTBMA_S}\right]\left\{RTS\left[(BMC) + (BMH)(BLR)\right] + NRTS\left[(DMC) + (DMH)(DLR)\right]\right\} + \left[\frac{(TFFH)(1 - LRR)(1 - TM)}{MFTBMA_S}\right]\left\{RTS\left[(BMC)(RMC) + (BMH)(BLR)\right] + NRTS\left[(DMC)(RMC) + (DMH)(DLR)\right]\right\} + \left[\frac{(TFFH)(1 - LRR)(TM)(TMC)}{MFTBMA_S}\right]$$




*Figure 2-1.* EFFECTS OF LOT SIZE AND PRODUCTION CURVE ON ACQUISITION PRICE

$C_5$ = Cost of personnel training

$$C_5 = (TCB)\left[1 + (PIUP - 1)(TRB)\right]\left\{\left[\frac{TFFH}{(PIUP)(MFTBMA_S)}\right]\left[\frac{(IMH + RMH) + (LRR + [1 - TM][1 - LRR])(RTS)(BMH)}{PMB}\right]\right\}$$

$$+ (TCD)\left[1 + (PIUP - 1)(TRD)\right]\left\{\left[\frac{TFFH}{(PIUP)(MFTBMA_S)}\right]\left[\frac{(LRR + [1 - TM][1 - LRR])(NRTS)(DMH)}{PMD}\right]\right\}$$

$C_6$ = Cost of management and technical data

$$C_6 = \left[\frac{(TFFH)(BLR)}{MFTBMA_S}\right]\left[(MRO) + (LRR + [1 - TM][1 - LRR])(MRF + SR + TR) + (1 - LRR)(TM)(SR)\right]$$

where

LRR = fraction of total maintenance actions that result in removal of a Line Replaceable Unit (black box)

$UC_L$ = average cost of an LRU

AD = average demand on the pipeline for spares

$$= \left[\frac{PFFH}{MFTBMA_S}\right]\left[BRCT\ (RTS) + DRCT\ (NRTS)\right]$$

$UC_S$ = unit cost of the system

$MFTBMA_S$ = system mean flight time between maintenance actions

TM = fraction of total number of modules that are designated as throwaways

TMC = unit cost of a throwaway module

RMC = unit cost of a repairable module

Other terms are as defined in Appendix F

The equation for initial spares cost is based on a normal approximation to the Poisson distribution and computes a spares sufficiency level

of approximately 93.5 percent. The total quantity of spares calculated by this equation will satisfy the average demand and provide for a safety stock.*

Selection of values for the parameters delineated above permits evaluating the effects of different maintenance concepts and system and module costs on life-cycle cost. Module cost can be traded off against support cost to determine the economic feasibility of a discard-upon-failure maintenance philosophy or of conventional module or LRU repair.

The equation for the cost of personnel training is evaluated by calculating first the quantity inside the braces {}. This quantity represents the number of personnel required for maintenance based on the expected number of maintenance actions and the projected productivity. The quantity represented by those parameters for the base-level portion of the equation must be an integral multiple of the number of operational bases. The corresponding term for the depot-level portion of the equation need only be rounded to the next higher integer value before the calculation continues.

Four cost categories are included in the model described in Appendix A that are not represented here because of the lack of data:

- Support-equipment costs
- Training-equipment costs
- On-equipment costs for scheduled maintenance
- Technical documentation costs

## 2.5 EVALUATION OF DAIS AVIONICS LIFE-CYCLE COST FOR A CLOSE AIR SUPPORT CONFIGURATION

### 2.5.1 Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) Cost

The RDT&E cost for the application of DAIS to a Close Air Support configuration of avionics could not be quantitatively evaluated because of the lack of data.

### 2.5.2 Acquisition Cost

From the baseline data of Table 2-1, the acquisition cost for the DAIS CAS avionics was estimated for three production-lot adjustments. These calculated costs are shown in Table 2-3. The production-lot adjustments are based on a production quantity of 500 aircraft installations. The costs

*In order to calculate actual spares quantities for initial pipeline sparing, the equation for initial spares would have to be applied to each module and LRU type separately. However, the average demand based on the total number of maintenance actions provides a useful quantity for estimating spares cost.

*Table 2-3.* DAIS CLOSE AIR SUPPORT AVIONICS

| Equipment | Quantity per Aircraft | Total Cost per Aircraft, 95% P.C.* | Total Cost per Aircraft, 90% P.C. | Total Cost per Aircraft, 85% P.C. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Remote Terminals | 15 | $ 369,454 | $ 256,880 | $176,524 |
| Computer | 4 | 184,766 | 129,802 | 90,175 |
| Bus Controller Unit | 4 | 28,103 | 19,505 | 13,381 |
| Video Switch/Refresh Memory | 1 | 71,770 | 50,947 | 35,787 |
| Scan Converter | 1 | 71,770 | 50,947 | 35,787 |
| Programmable Display Generator | 2 | 281,031 | 195,069 | 133,807 |
| Multi-Function Keyboard | 1 | 14,354 | 10,190 | 7,157 |
| Multi-Function Control Panel | 2 | 6,042 | 4,193 | 2,876 |
| Vertical Situation Display | 1 | 14,354 | 10,190 | 7,157 |
| Multi-Purpose and Horizontal Situation Displays | 1 | 28 707 | 20,379 | 14,314 |
| Head-Up Display | 1 | 17,943 | 12,737 | 8,947 |
| Hand Controller | 1 | 7,177 | 5,094 | 3,579 |
| Dedicated Control and Display Group | 1 | 14,354 | 10,190 | 7,157 |
| Sensors | | | | |
| Radar Beacon | 1 | 5,302 | 5,302 | 5,302 |
| Forward-Looking Radar | 1 | 63,440 | 63,440 | 63,440 |
| Doppler Radar | 1 | 26,190 | 26,190 | 26,190 |
| Radar Altimeter | 1 | 2,050 | 2,050 | 2,050 |
| VHF Radio | 1 | 5,455 | 5,455 | 5,455 |
| UHF Radio | 1 | 4,036 | 4,036 | 4,036 |
| TACAN | 1 | 6,029 | 6,029 | 6,029 |
| Instrument Landing System | 1 | 11,703 | 11,703 | 11,703 |
| Inertial Measurement Unit | 1 | 67,771 | 67,771 | 67,771 |
| LORAN | 1 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| IFF | 1 | 2,467 | 2,467 | 2,467 |
| Automatic Direction Finder | 1 | 1,504 | 1,504 | 1,504 |
| Total Avionics Cost per Aircraft (Including Remote Terminals) | | $1,340,772 | $1,007,070 | $767,595 |
| Total Avionics Cost per Aircraft (Excluding Remote Terminals) | | $ 971,318 | $ 750,190 | $591,071 |

*P.C. = Production Curve. Costs are estimated on the basis of Table 2-1 data, assuming 500 production aircraft. The cost of the sensors is based on IROS data, assuming production-lot quantities. All cost data contained in this report are in current dollars. No adjustments were made for inflation.

associated with the sensors were extracted from data source 5. It was assumed that the cost of each basic functional unit of each aircraft system (receiver-transmitter, inertial measurement unit, etc.) would remain the same, but that computational, control, and display functions and their associated costs would be repartitioned in the integrated system.

It was necessary to consider two distinct cases for these avionics because of the nature of the laboratory hot-bench installation. The remote terminals in the laboratory system will serve as interfaces between the sensors and the multiplexing system. However, an aircraft installation could not accommodate the physical size of these units. The function performed by the remote terminals may be integrated into the sensor units or eliminated by future digital sensor designs. Therefore, the estimated system cost for the avionics is broken into two categories, as shown at the bottom of Table 2-3.

Figure 2-2 compares the cost of both of these categories: DAIS with remote terminals and DAIS without remote terminals. The cost of a current conventional avionic system is $575,983, as computed from the data in Appendix C.

### 2.5.3 Installation Cost

The use of a Time Division Multiplex (TDM) bus in DAIS-configured aircraft will greatly reduce the amount of wiring for the avionics, thereby significantly reducing the installation cost for these equipments. The interconnecting cabling for the DAIS avionics will consist of a shielded, twisted-pair cable. The small size and light weight of this cable will permit the use of multiple redundant cables to ensure maximum aircraft survivability and mission reliability. No data were available for a quantitative comparison of the cost of this approach to conventional intersystem cabling, but the cost reduction afforded by the TDM cabling should be proportional to the decrease in the quantity and complexity of the cables and connectors required.

### 2.5.4 Logistic Support Cost

The model described in Section 2.4 was used to evaluate the logistic support cost of the avionics of a DAIS-configured Close Air Support aircraft. Three distinct cases were considered in the analysis; two of these cases addressed module removal at the flight-line level of maintenance, and the third addressed removal of black boxes.

- Case I - Throwaway-Module Concept
  - Five percent of all maintenance actions result in removal of a black box (LRR = 0.05); other maintenance actions involve module removal.
  - Seventy-five percent of all removed modules are throwaways (TM = 0.75).




*Figure 2-2.* COMPARISON OF DAIS AND CONVENTIONAL CAS AVIONICS ACQUISITION COSTS FOR VARYING PRODUCTION-CURVE ADJUSTMENTS

- Average cost of a throwaway module is $50 (TMC = $50).
- Average cost of a repairable module is $500 (RMC = $500).
- Other data elements are as given in Table 2-4.

• Case II - Throwaway-Module Concept

- All data elements are the same as in Case I except that TMC is $100 and RMC is $1000.

• Case III - Black-Box-Removal Concept (This represents the current Air Force maintenance policy.)

- All maintenance actions result in removal of black box (LRR = 1.00, TM = 0.00).
- Base material cost is $100 per maintenance action (BMC = $100).
- Depot material cost is $50 per maintenance action (DMC = $50).
- Other data elements are as given in Table 2-4.

### 2.5.5 Total Life-Cycle Cost

Table 2-5 shows the results of an analysis of the LRU removal concept for the conventional and DAIS Close Air Support configurations based on the cost parameters in Table 2-4. This analysis assumes 500 aircraft each operating an average of 20 hours per month for a 15-year life cycle. The acquisition costs for the conventional avionics are based on Appendix C (Table C-2). The DAIS acquisition costs are based on Table 2-3, assuming no remote terminals and a 90-percent production curve, for a total production of 2300 systems.

In order to establish a lower bound on the magnitude of the cost figures resulting from the life-cycle-cost analysis, a best-case analysis was performed for both conventional and DAIS CAS configurations. The data for the best-case conventional suite of avionics was established by selecting independent best-case values of acquisition cost and reliability for a typical mix of Close Air Support avionics. The best-case conventional avionics are shown in Appendix C (Table C-7), and the best-case DAIS avionics are shown in Appendix D (Table D-2). It should be noted that the particular mix of avionics shown has not been integrated into an aircraft system, but each subsystem was chosen to represent known best-case values of acquisition cost and reliability. The system mean flight time between maintenance actions ($MFTBMA_S$) for the best-case conventional avionics is 7.78 hours; the DAIS $MFTBMA_S$ is estimated at 10 hours. This projected increase in reliability for the DAIS avionics is based on a potential reduction in hardware in a DAIS-configured system. The results of this baseline best-case analysis are shown in Table 2-6.

The analyses discussed above are based on the current Air Force maintenance philosophy of black-box removal at the flight-line level (DAIS Case III). State-of-the-art design and packaging practices have made the concept of module removal at the flight line technically feasible. A life-cycle-cost analysis

*Table 2-4.* COST PARAMETERS

| Parameter | Conventional Configuration | DAIS Case III |
|---|---|---|
| $UC_S$ | $575,983 | $750,190 |
| $UC_L$ | $7,000 | $9,100 |
| $MFTBMA_S$ | See Table C-2 | 10 hours |
| RTS | 0.76 | 0.94 |
| NRTS | 0.23 } See Table C-2 | 0.05 |
| COND | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| IMH & RMH | See Table C-2 | 1.00 hour |
| BMH | See Table C-2 | 5.00 hours |
| DMH | See Table C-2 | 24.0 hours |
| PIUP | 15 years | Same |
| BRCT | 0.33 month | Same |
| DRCT | 2.00 months | Same |
| PFFH | 10,000 hours | Same |
| TFFH | 1,800,000 hours | Same |
| BLR | $11.70 | Same |
| DLR | $12.44 | Same |
| BMC | $100 | Same |
| DMC | $50 | Same |
| TCB | $950 | Same |
| TCD | $1600 | Same |
| TRB | 0.33 | Same |
| TRD | 0.15 | Same |
| PMB | 1,680 hours | Same |
| PMD | 1,788 hours | Same |
| MRO | 0.08 hour | Same |
| MRF | 0.24 hour | Same |
| SR | 0.24 hour | Same |
| TR | 0.16 hour | Same |
| M | 25 | Same |

*Table 2-5.* COMPARISON OF DAIS AND CONVENTIONAL CLOSE AIR SUPPORT COSTS (500 AIRCRAFT FOR 15 YEARS)

| Cost Category | Costs | |
|---|---|---|
| | Conventional | DAIS Case III |
| Initial and Replacement Spares | $107,215,713 | $ 20,392,334 |
| On-Equipment Maintenance | 26,903,588 | 2,106,000 |
| Off-Equipment Maintenance | 147,392,868 | 29,955,240 |
| Personnel Training | 1,506,060 | 311,590 |
| Management and Technical Data | 5,982,258 | 1,537,380 |
| Total Logistic Support | 289,000,487 | 54,302,544 |
| Acquisition | 287,991,500 | 375,095,000 |
| Totals | $576,991,987 | $429,397,544 |

Note: Data in this table are based on 500 aircraft operating for 15 years.

*Table 2-6.* BEST-CASE CAS ANALYSIS (500 AIRCRAFT FOR 15 YEARS)

| Cost Category | Costs | |
|---|---|---|
| | Best-Case Conventional | Best-Case DAIS |
| Initial and Replacement Spares | $ 12,940,642 | $ 15,910,502 |
| On-Equipment Maintenance | 5,413,882 | 2,106,000 |
| Off-Equipment Maintenance | 38,502,879 | 29,955,240 |
| Personnel Training | 321,510 | 311,590 |
| Management and Technical Data | 1,948,997 | 1,537,380 |
| Total Logistic Support | 59,127,910 | 49,820,712 |
| Acquisition | 185,132,500 | 290,332,000 |
| Totals | $244,260,410 | $340,152,712 |
| Input Parameters | | |
| $UC_S$ | $370,265 | $580,664 |
| $UC_L$ | $ 4,500 | $ 7,000 |
| $MFTBMA_S$ | 7.78 hours | 10.00 hours |
| RTS | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| NRTS | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| COND | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| IMH & RMH | 2.00 hours | 1.00 hour |
| BMH | 5.00 hours | 5.00 hours |
| DMH | 24.00 hours | 24.00 hours |

Note: Data in this table are based on 500 aircraft operating 20 hours per month for a 15-year life cycle.

for a module-removal concept (Cases I and II in Section 2.5.5) was performed to evaluate the economic feasibility of this approach. The results of the analysis are given in Table 2-7 and Figure 2-3. These data show that the total life-cycle cost is lower for this maintenance concept, primarily due to the lower cost of the pipeline spares.

*Table 2-7.* EFFECT OF MAINTENANCE CONCEPT ON DAIS LIFE-CYCLE COST (500 AIRCRAFT FOR 15 YEARS)

| Cost Category | Case I Costs | Case II Costs | Case III Costs |
|---|---|---|---|
| Initial and Replacement Spares | $ 1,285,725 | $ 1,551,834 | $ 20,392,334 |
| On-Equipment Maintenance | 2,106,000 | 2,106,000 | 2,106,000 |
| Off-Equipment Maintenance | 15,019,547 | 22,405,082 | 29,955,240 |
| Personnel Training | 148,355 | 148,355 | 311,590 |
| Management Data | 937,170 | 937,170 | 1,537,380 |
| Total Logistic Support (subtotal) | 19,496,797 | 27,148,441 | 54,302,544 |
| Acquisition | 375,095,000 | 375,095,000 | 375,095,000 |
| Totals | $394,591,797 | $402,243,441 | $429,397,544 |

## 2.6 EVALUATION OF DAIS COSTS FOR A MIX OF FUTURE AIRCRAFT

The analysis of the life-cycle costs of DAIS and conventional avionics was extended to cover a mix of Close Air Support, Transport, Fighter, and Bomber aircraft.* Adjustment factors were used to correlate the calculated logistic support cost of the conventional CAS avionics to that of the avionics of the other aircraft. These factors are ratios of the logistic support cost as computed in the IROS reports for each aircraft type. When viewed on a per-aircraft-per-year basis, these ratios provide a normalized baseline that accounts for variations in flying schedule, number of deployment locations, and other operational parameters. These factors were applied to the calculated logistic support cost of the conventional CAS avionics to project the costs to the avionics of the other aircraft types.

The logistic support costs for the DAIS avionics were estimated by applying a ratio to the calculated DAIS Close Air Support logistic support cost. This ratio combined the effects of the number of distinct sensors in each aircraft type and the relative numbers of each type.

*The aircraft chosen to represent these types are the A-7D, C-141A, F-4D, and B-52G, respectively.




*Figure 2-3.* EFFECT OF SYSTEM MFTBMA ON LOGISTIC SUPPORT COST

The conventional avionics acquisition costs were estimated by applying the production-lot equation from Section 2.3 to the data in Table 2-1 (using the 90-percent production curve for 2300 total aircraft) and adding the costs of the sensors for each aircraft type.

The results of this analysis are shown in Table 2-8. No adjustments for discounting or inflation were made for any of the data in Table 2-8, which will affect the absolute value of these results, particularly for multi-year procurements.

The evaluation of life-cycle costs presented in Table 2-5 and Table 2-8 was based on estimates of costs of prototype hardware for the DAIS hot-bench and projections of support costs and repair times. The results of this analysis were then compared with the known costs of conventionally designed and procured systems. This approach could result in some mis-interpretation concerning the areas of life-cycle cost in which the DAIS approach to avionics integration offers the most significant benefits. Since a considerable number of the major areas of savings from DAIS cannot be quantified at this time, the following qualitative analysis is provided.

### 2.6.1 Research and Development

If a system of DAIS avionics is incorporated into a mix of aircraft types, there should be a reduction in the total cost of research and development for the avionics of those aircraft. Following the investment for the initial avionics development, the only funds required for incorporating the DAIS suite of avionics into additional aircraft would be for sensor functions unique to those aircraft and the attendant software (programming) changes. However, as stated earlier, software and software documentation have historically been very expensive, and it is possible that any savings in hardware R&D expenditures could be neutralized by excessive software costs. Careful evaluation and monitoring of the costs of the total development effort (hardware and software) are necessary to ensure that the potential cost benefit offered by DAIS is realized.

### 2.6.2 Installation

The use of time-division multiplexing in a system of avionics should result in significant cost savings in the installation and modification of that system. Reductions in weight, cabling complexity, and modification requirements offer the potential for savings throughout the life of an aircraft.

### 2.6.3 Acquisition

The DAIS avionics hardware will incorporate state-of-the-art technology in terms of components, circuit techniques, and packaging. Alternative approaches to the design and procurement of avionic systems will also take advantage of these advances, and there is no basis for predicting an acquisition-cost differential between DAIS and other approaches on technological grounds. Hardware commonality across a mix of aircraft types, a central concept in the DAIS scheme, does offer the potential for greater

*Table 2-8.* EVALUATION OF COSTS ACROSS A MIX OF AIRCRAFT (15-YEAR LCC ESTIMATE)

| Cost Category | Close Air Support Cost (500 Aircraft) | | Transport Cost (300 Aircraft) | | Fighter Cost (1000 Aircraft) | | Bomber Cost (500 Aircraft) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Conventional | DAIS Case III | Conventional | DAIS Case III | Conventional | DAIS Case III | Conventional | DAIS Case III |
| Logistic Support | $289,000,487 | $ 54,302,544 | $345,066,582 | $ 51,587,417 | $566,440,955 | $ 81,453,816 | $664,701,120 | $ 58,827,756 |
| Acquisition | 287,991,500 | 375,095,000 | 129,014,700 | 183,095,700 | 347,449,000 | 512,313,000 | 173,997,000 | 245,500,000 |
| Total Cost | $576,991,987 | $429,397,544 | $474,081,282 | $234,683,117 | $913,889,955 | $593,766,816 | $838,698,120 | $304,327,756 |
| Cost per Aircraft | $ 1,153,984 | $ 858,795 | $ 1,580,271 | $ 782,277 | $ 913,890 | $ 593,767 | $ 1,677,396 | $ 608,656 |
| Total Across Mix: | Conventional = $2,803,661,344; DAIS = $1,562,175,233 | | | | | | | |

economies of scale through larger production-lot commitments. Applying the production-lot equation given in Section 2.3 to a mix of 500 Close Air Support, 300 Transport, 1,000 Fighter, and 500 Bomber aircraft reveals that a total purchase of 2,300 aircraft systems as a single production lot results in approximately a 20-percent decrease in unit acquisition cost for the DAIS core elements below that for a lot size of only 500 systems.

### 2.6.4 Logistic Support Cost

Calculations of logistic support cost require inputs of many operational, reliability, and maintainability parameters. Actual values of these parameters are established through field experience with a system and are influenced by a variety of factors, including hardware complexity, software versatility, technical documentation, training, support equipment, and other operational and environmental effects.

The primary factor in determining the logistic support cost of any system is the reliability exhibited by that system in an operational environment. The number of maintenance actions required to maintain an aircraft in a ready status determines the required number of spares, maintenance personnel, support equipment, and other support-related assets and activities. System reliability is measured by calculating mean flight time between maintenance actions (MFTBMA). Figure 2-3 shows the effect of MFTBMA on logistic support cost. Procurement decisions can be heavily influenced by a knowledge of whether the life-cycle cost of a system will be affected primarily by acquisition or by support costs.

The cost of spares constitutes one of the most significant categories of logistic support cost. For a given technological base and level of reliability, the cost of spares is most heavily influenced by the level of sparing and the range of applicability of a given set of spares. As shown in Figure 2-3, a black-box-removal maintenance concept results in a higher life-cycle cost than a module-removal concept because of the much higher cost of base and depot pipeline spares. This cost category dominates the total life-cycle cost at low reliability levels. The degree of commonality across a mix of aircraft types determines the number, and therefore the cost, of different spare units required to satisfy a specified level of spares sufficiency. The high degree of avionics commonality across aircraft types projected for the incorporation of DAIS into future aircraft should result in a significant reduction in spares cost because of the net reduction in required safety stock for the supply pipelines.

The areas of training, documentation, and support equipment would also benefit from commonality across many aircraft, but these cost categories are believed to represent only a fraction of the total life-cycle cost.

*CHAPTER THREE*

# QUALITATIVE EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL DAIS COST BENEFITS

Examination of the sources listed in Appendix E reveals that there are several areas in which the DAIS approach offers significant cost and other benefits, but these benefits are difficult to assess quantitatively. Some of these areas are discussed in this chapter.

## 3.1 REDUCED VULNERABILITY TO JAMMING

A significant advantage of the digital data link, which uses time division of signals, is that its vulnerability to jamming is much smaller than that of systems using frequency division. In addition, the incorporation of electro-optical techniques of multiplexing will reduce susceptibility to pulse interference.

## 3.2 INCREASED MISSION RELIABILITY

It is expected that the DAIS software package will provide the flexibility to permit the design of fault tolerance into future digital avionic systems. A system will thus continue to perform even if one or more circuits fail because the function(s) performed by the failed circuit will be transferred to other sections of the system. This concept is possible in a digital system because of the ability of the software to control data flow through the system.

## 3.3 INCREASED SURVIVABILITY

The simplicity and light weight of the multiplexed data lines of the DAIS avionics will provide a sufficient advantage over current hard-wiring techniques to permit the use of multiple wiring runs. These redundant connections will be dispersed throughout the aircraft, decreasing the probability that battle damage to the wiring will disable the aircraft.

### 3.4 EASE OF LABORATORY EVALUATION

The DAIS concept provides the capability to evaluate new equipments and techniques in the laboratory at relatively low cost. A digital system provides the flexibility to demonstrate newly developed hardware or software at a cost potentially far below the current level of RDT&E expenditures. Avionics parameters can easily be simulated in such a system, and the impact of new sensors, displays, or other hardware can be evaluated even before they have been fully developed. This capability could be a fundamental tool in reversing the accelerating cost-growth characteristic of the current methods of designing and testing new systems.

### 3.5 EASE OF INTEGRATING NEW TECHNOLOGY

Further development of DAIS does not require the development of any new technologies. The concept draws from R&D programs currently being proven in advanced weapon systems. This does not mean that the DAIS approach freezes technology, but rather that it exploits the benefits that can be obtained with present state-of-the-art techniques. However, the modular characteristics of DAIS avionics should permit the incorporation of advancements in either hardware or software into the system at a relatively low cost. Basic research in information and systems science is rapidly expanding, and new electronic devices (such as bubble memories, fiber optics, low-power gates, etc.) appear almost weekly. Any new avionic systems must be designed to absorb these technological advances without the requirement for a major redesign. This is a fundamental element in the DAIS approach to avionic systems.

### 3.6 REDUCTION OF INVENTORIES

One of the major problems in maintaining a high readiness posture is the enormous size of the logistic network caused by the proliferation of module types. Each new system entering the inventory can add hundreds of unique modules to the supply system. The restricted availability of funds dictates that there will never be sufficient quantities of spares of all module types on hand to ensure that all requisitions can be filled. The reduction of the number of module types in a DAIS system and the degree of commonality between aircraft will reduce the costs of the logistic system.

### 3.7 MATERIAL AND MANAGEMENT CENTRALIZATION

The prospect of a high degree of commonality between aircraft avionic systems as offered by DAIS presents the potential for significantly streamlining all phases of system support. Uniformity of hardware would minimize the number of maintenance, supply, technical support, and management facilities and personnel required.

Centralization of aircraft support based on standardization of avionics should have a major impact on improving the procurement-to-support cost ratio.

*CHAPTER FOUR*

# CONCLUSIONS

There are several general observations or conclusions that may be drawn from the data and discussions included in this report. These are discussed in the following subsections.

## 4.1 RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

The DAIS approach to avionics development provides the potential for a significant cost benefit in the area of RDT&E. After the investment for the initial avionics development, the only R&D funds that would be required for incorporating DAIS into additional aircraft types would be for the sensor functions unique to those aircraft and the associated software.

## 4.2 ACQUISITION

The acquisition cost of the DAIS avionics will depend on the effects of production-lot size, competition, and other procurement decisions. The degree of commonality between aircraft types offered by incorporation of the DAIS approach will permit the realization of economies of scale through large production-lot commitments.

Realization of the potential cost benefits offered by DAIS will depend to some extent on the degree of success in controlling the reliability and costs of the mission software.

## 4.3 INSTALLATION

The utilization of time-division multiplexing in a DAIS system of avionics will result in significant installation-cost savings. In addition, modifications to or additions of subsystems can be made without rewiring the aircraft, resulting in further cost savings and airframe versatility.

## 4.4 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The projected built-in-test capabilities of the DAIS system should reduce the costs associated with on-equipment maintenance by lowering the man-hours required for troubleshooting the system for corrective or preventive maintenance.

The calculation of off-equipment maintenance costs is very sensitive to base-level material cost. For this reason, it is difficult to assess the full impact of the DAIS concept for this cost category. However, the partitioning of avionics into relatively small, low-cost modules (as in the disposable-module concept) provides the potential for labor and material cost savings if the DAIS built-in-test capabilities are realized.

The disposable-module concept provides the potential for significant logistic-support-cost savings, primarily from the savings in base and depot pipeline spares.

The governing factor in computing the logistic support cost of any system is the reliability exhibited by that system in its operational environment. The number of maintenance actions required to maintain the aircraft in a ready status determines the number of spares, maintenance personnel, support equipment, and other support-related assets and activities that increase the total cost of a system far beyond its acquisition cost. Therefore, improvements in the reliability of the avionics package will have a major impact on decreasing the logistic support cost of the aircraft.

## 4.5 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT

The costs associated with the acquisition and operation of the support equipment required to maintain a system of avionics would be reduced for a DAIS-configured aircraft because of the comprehensive built-in-test capabilities of the system. This cost advantage would increase with the number of aircraft types in which DAIS was incorporated because there would be a net reduction in the number of sets of support equipment required. The requirements for support equipment depend on the maintenance philosophy, but a minimization of different module types will minimize support-equipment requirements for either the module-removal or black-box-removal approach.

## 4.6 TRAINING

Application of the DAIS concept to aircraft avionics should reduce the costs of personnel training because of the benefits arising from the commonality between aircraft. The training function could be centralized to a large extent since the basic avionic system would be the same for all aircraft types and fewer equipments would be needed for technical training. In addition, only one central training curriculum would be needed to

cover all aircraft types, with special material required only for those sensor functions unique to a given weapon system. A throwaway-module maintenance philosophy would eliminate the requirement for in-depth training below the module level, shortening courses and reducing training costs.

## 4.7 DOCUMENTATION

Incorporation of DAIS avionics into a mix of aircraft should offer a significant benefit relative to the cost of developing technical documentation. After manuals for the first aircraft have been developed, the commonality between aircraft would reduce the requirements for development of new technical material to the functional units that are unique to a weapon system.

## 4.8 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

The fundamental concept of avionics commonality between aircraft could result in substantial cost reductions across the entire Air Force via centralization of both direct and indirect support of operational units. Uniformity of avionics hardware would permit minimization of the number of maintenance, supply, technical support, and management facilities required to support the aircraft; and all phases of system support would be streamlined.

The DAIS approach incorporates several architectural and maintenance concepts, and the various cost benefits described in this report could be realized without implementing the full DAIS package. Therefore, in a comparison of DAIS with state-of-the-art conventional avionics, the true cost savings achievable with DAIS are limited to those cost categories for which DAIS offers unique capabilities.

*APPENDIX A*

# GENERAL FORM OF LIFE-CYCLE-COST MODEL

## 1. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (RDT&E) COSTS

RDT&E expenditures are often the most difficult of all life-cycle-cost categories on which to obtain information. These funds are usually divided among several organizations; and reconstruction of the actual sources, recipients, and amounts expended can be a very time-consuming effort, with no guarantee that all costs have been accounted for. Therefore, the costs associated with RDT&E must be extracted from reports and budget documents of the organizations that control these funds.

## 2. ACQUISITION COSTS

System acquisition costs are a summation of the costs of the individual Line Replaceable Units (LRUs) for the quantity of aircraft under consideration, which can be stated as

$$C_A = \sum (\text{LRU unit costs}) \ (\text{Quantity per aircraft}) \ (\text{Number of aircraft})$$

$$C_A = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (UC_i) \ (QPA_i) \ (AC)$$

where

$UC_i$ = unit cost of the $i^{th}$ LRU

$QPA_i$ = quantity per aircraft of the $i^{th}$ LRU

AC = number of aircraft

N = total number of LRUs

i = index of each LRU within the aircraft

## 3. INSTALLATION COSTS

The installation costs include the wiring and labor required to install a system in the aircraft. These may be included in the cost of the airframe or may be accounted for in costs of retrofit, Engineering Change Proposals, or other modifications. Where data are available, the installation costs can be delineated as

$$C_I = \sum \text{(Unit installation cost) (Quantity per aircraft) (Number of aircraft)}$$

$$C_I = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (IC_i)\ (QPA_i)\ (AC)$$

where

$IC_i$ = installation cost of the $i^{th}$ LRU

Other terms are as previously defined

## 4. INITIAL AND REPLACEMENT SPARES COST

The initial and replacement spares cost encompasses the procurement of (1) the initial modules and complete units intended to serve as spares to be used in on-equipment maintenance (nonrecurring), and (2) the additional spare modules or units to replace those lost to the system through condemnation (recurring). The model computes the cost of the initial spares on the basis of the expected number of failures under peak-force operation conditions and the associated pipeline delays. The cost of replacement spares is determined from the total number of expected failures during the life cycle and the fraction of failures resulting in condemnations, as shown in the following equations:

$$C_1 = \sum \text{(LRU unit costs)} \times \{\text{Number of units for base and depot pipeline}\} + \sum \text{(LRU unit costs)} \times \{\text{Number of units condemned over life cycle}\}$$

$$C_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (UC_i) \left(AD + \sqrt{2.3 \times AD}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (UC_i) \left\{\frac{(QPA_i)\ (1\text{-}RIP_i)\ (COND_i)\ (TFFH)}{MFTBMA}\right\}$$

where

$$AD = \text{Average demand} = \left[\frac{(PFFH)\ (QPA_i)}{MFTBMA_i}\right]\ \Big[(BRCT_i)\ (RTS_i) + (DRCT_i)\ (NRTS_i)\Big]$$

$RIP_i$ = fraction of maintenance actions for the $i^{th}$ LRU for which the LRU can be repaired in place

PFFH = peak force flying hours per month

$MFTBMA_i$ = mean flight time between maintenance actions for the $i^{th}$ LRU

$BRCT_i$ = average base repair time in months for the $i^{th}$ LRU

$RTS_i$ = fraction of removals for the $i^{th}$ LRU repaired at the base

$DRCT_i$ = average depot-repair response time in months for the $i^{th}$ LRU

$NRTS_i$ = fraction of removals of the $i^{th}$ LRU that result in depot repair

$COND_i$ = fraction of removals of the $i^{th}$ LRU that result in condemnation

TFFH = total force flying hours over life cycle

Other terms are as previously defined

5. ON-EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COSTS

The on-equipment maintenance costs represent the recurring labor costs associated with performing all of the in-place and remove-and-replace maintenance actions during the equipment life cycle. They are obtained by the following equation:

$C_2 = \sum$ [Base labor rate] [Number of maintenance actions over life cycle] [Average man-hours per maintenance action] + [Base labor rate] [Man-hours for scheduled maintenance]

$$C_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[BLR\right] \left[\frac{(TFFH\ (QPA_i)}{MFTBMA_i}\right] \left[(IMH_i) + (RMH_i)\right] + \left[BLR\right] \left[\frac{(TFFH)\ (SMH)}{SMI}\right]$$

where

BLR = base labor rate

$IMH_i$ = average time in man-hours to perform corrective maintenance in place for the $i^{th}$ LRU

$RMH_i$ = average time in man-hours to remove and replace the $i^{th}$ LRU for subsequent repair

SMH = average time in man-hours to perform scheduled maintenance

SMI = scheduled maintenance interval in flying hours

Other terms are as previously defined

6. OFF-EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COSTS

The off-equipment maintenance costs represent the recurring labor and material costs associated with performing repair on the system at the base and depot levels. These costs are determined from the number of maintenance actions during the life of the equipment repaired at the base and depot levels, and the labor and material costs associated with each action, as shown in the following equation:

$$C_3 = \sum [\text{Number of maintenance actions over life cycle}] \times \{[\text{Base labor and material cost per maintenance action}] + [\text{Depot labor and material cost per maintenance action}]\}$$

$$C_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{(TFFH)\ (QPA_i)\ (1\text{-}RIP_i)}{MFTBMA_i}\right] \left\{RTS_i \left[(BMC_i) + (BMH_i)\ (BLR)\right] + NRTS_i \left[(DMC_i) + (DMH_i)\ (DLR)\right]\right\}$$

where

$BMC_i$ = base material cost per maintenance action

$BMH_i$ = average man-hours expended at the base to diagnose and repair the $i^{th}$ LRU

$DMC_i$ = depot material cost per depot maintenance action

$DMH_i$ = average man-hours expended at the depot to diagnose and repair the $i^{th}$ LRU

DLR = depot labor rate

Other terms are as previously defined

7. SUPPORT EQUIPMENT COSTS

The support equipment costs include the acquisition (nonrecurring) and operational (recurring) costs of the Aeronautical Ground Equipment (AGE) used to maintain the equipment at the base and depot levels. Both hardware and software costs are represented by this cost category. The following equation is used:

$$C_4 = \sum \left\{ \left[ \text{Acquisition and operate costs of base level AGE} \right] + \left[ \text{Acquisition and operating costs of depot level AGE} \right] \right\} + \left( \text{Cost of flight-line AGE} + \text{Cost of AGE software} \right)$$

$$C_4 = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left\{ \left[ CAB_j + (PIUP)\ (COB_j) \right] + \left[ CAD_j + (PIUP)\ (COD_j) \right] \right\} + (FLA) + (CS)$$

where

$CAB_j$ = cost of $j^{th}$ piece of base level AGE

PIUP = operational service life of the system in years

$COB_j$ = annual operating and maintenance cost of $j^{th}$ piece of base-level AGE

$CAD_j$ = cost of $j^{th}$ piece of depot-level AGE

$COD_j$ = annual operating and maintenance cost of $j^{th}$ piece of depot-level AGE

FLA = cost of flight-line AGE for the aircraft

CS = cost of software associated with AGE

j = index of each piece of AGE

K = total number of AGE items

8. COST OF PERSONNEL TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EQUIPMENT

This cost element includes the specialized training for system maintenance personnel at the base and depot levels (nonrecurring), plus the additional training required at these levels because of personnel turnover (recurring). The training requirements are established by

determining the number of base and depot-level personnel required annually to support the system, on the basis of the number of failures and the man-hours per failure to complete the repairs. Also included in this category is the nonrecurring cost of the special equipment (vans, simulators, etc.) required for maintenance or operator training. The following equation is used:

$C_5$ = {[Cost of training per man at base level] [Total number of base personnel trained over life cycle]}

+{[Cost of training per man at depot level] [Total number of depot personnel trained over life cycle]}

+{[Cost of peculiar training equipment]}

$$C_5 = (TCB)\ [1+\ (PIUP)\ (TRB)] \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(TFFH)\ (QPA_i)}{(PIUP)\ (MFTBMA_i)} \left[ \frac{(IMH_i + RMH_i) + (1-RIP_i)\ (RTS_i)\ (BMH_i)}{PMB} \right] \right\}$$

$$+\ (TCD)\ [1 + (PIUP-1)\ (TRD)] \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(TFFH)\ (QPA_i)}{(PIUP)\ (MFTBMA_i)} \left[ \frac{(1-RIP_i)\ (NRTS_i)\ (DMH_i)}{PMD} \right] \right\} + \{TE\}$$

where

TCB = cost of training per man at base level

TRB = annual turnover rate for base personnel

PMB = direct productive man-hours/man/year at base level

TCD = cost of training per man at depot level

TRD = annual turnover rate for depot personnel

PMD = direct productive man-hours/man/year at depot level

TE = cost of peculiar training equipment, including vans, simulators, laboratory equipment, recorders, etc.

Other terms are as previously defined

9. COST OF MANAGEMENT AND TECHNICAL DATA

The costs associated with the labor times required in filling out the various management-data-system forms for each maintenance action (recurring) and the cost of acquiring the original base and depot-level technical documentation (nonrecurring) comprise this cost element. The management-data costs are determined by the number of actions during the system life and the time per action needed to complete the various forms required for the data system. The following equation is used:

$$C_6 = \left\{\text{Cost of unscheduled maintenance record-keeping}\right\} + \left\{\text{Cost of scheduled maintenance record-keeping}\right\} + \left\{\text{Cost of technical documentation}\right\}$$

$$C_6 = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(TFFH)\ (QPA_i)\ (BLR)}{MFTBMA_i} \left[(MRO) + (1\text{-}RIP_i)\ (MRF + SR + TR)\right]\right\} + \left\{\frac{(TFFH)\ (BLR)}{SMI} \left[(MRO) + (0.1)\ (SR) + (0.1)\ TR\right]\right\} + \left\{(TD)\ (J+H)\right\}$$

where

MRO = average man-hours per maintenance action for completing on-equipment maintenance records

MFR = average man-hours per maintenance action for completing off-equipment maintenance records

SR = average man-hours per maintenance action for completing supply transaction records

TR = average man-hours per maintenance action for completing transportation forms

TD = cost per original page of technical documentation

J = number of pages of base and intermediate-level TOs

H = number of pages of depot-level TOs

Other terms are as previously defined

*APPENDIX B*

DATA SOURCES

1. Message from AFLC WPAFB, 161500Z, October 1974.
2. AFLC Life Cycle Cost Model description.
3. IROS report, File Number K051.PN3L, dated 1 November 1974.
4. IROS report, File Number K051.PN7L, dated 1 November 1974.
5. IROS report, File Number K051.PN8L, dated 1 November 1974.
6. *DoD Cost and Production Report*, File Number H036BHAAR, dated 17 October 1974.
7. AFAL/AAD.

*APPENDIX C*

DATA FOR CONVENTIONAL AVIONICS

*Table C-1.* CONVENTIONAL CAS COST PARAMETERS

| Parameter | Value | Source* |
|---|---|---|
| PFFH | 10,000 hours per month | 500 A/C x 20 hours per month |
| TFFH | 1,800,000 hours | PFFH x 12 months per year x 15 years |
| BRCT | 0.33 months | 2 |
| DRCT | 2 months | 2 |
| BLR | $11.70 | 1 |
| DLR | $12.44 | 1 |
| PIUP | 15 years | AFLC/AQA |
| TCB | $950 | AFHRL/ASR |
| TCD | $1600 | AFHRL/ASR |
| TRB | 0.33 | 2 |
| TRD | 0.15 | 2 |
| PMB | 1680 hours | 2 |
| PMD | 1788 hours | 2 |
| MRO | 0.08 hours | 2 |
| MRF | 0.24 hours | 2 |
| SR | 0.25 hours | 2 |
| M | 25 | Judgment |

*See Appendix B for numbered data sources.

TABLE C-2

CONVENTIONAL CLOSE AIR SUPPORT OPERATIONAL DATA[1]

| Nomenclature | $QPA_i$ | $UC_i$ | $MFTBMA_i$ | $1\text{-}RIP_i$ | $RTS_i$ | $NRTS_i$ | $COND_i$ | $IMH_i$ & $RMH_i$ | $BMH_i$ | $BMC_i^2$ | $DMC_i^2$ | $DMH_i$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FM-622A VHF Communication Set | 1 | $ 7,503 | 59.28 | 0.64 | 0.90 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 1.70 | 5.34 | $100 | $50 | 6.50 |
| AN/ARC-51BX UHF Communication | 1 | 5,706 | 22.78 | 0.56 | 0.94 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 1.41 | 5.32 | ↓ | ↓ | 2.41 |
| AN/ARA-50 Automatic Direction Finder | 1 | 4,726 | 73.19 | 0.22 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 1.33 | 5.68 | | | 3.65 |
| AN/APX-72 IFF Transponder | 1 | 3,219 | 46.93 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 1.56 | 2.70 | | | 23.12 |
| Horizontal Situation Indicator | 1 | 3,040 | 37.34 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 0.01 | 2.63 | 2.44 | | | 24.57 |
| AN/ARN-52 TACAN Set | 1 | 6,735 | 28.28 | 0.75 | 0.92 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.82 | 4.59 | | | 3.89 |
| AN/ARN-58A Instrument Landing System | 1 | 15,852 | 54.79 | 0.22 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 1.67 | 2.08 | | | 31.19 |
| AN/ARN-92 LORAN Set | 1 | 99,730 | 115.48 | 0.56 | 0.91 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 2.06 | 7.04 | | | 47.37 |
| AN/APN-141 Radar Altimeter | 1 | 6,290 | 26.50 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 1.83 | | | 31.16 |
| AN/APN-154 Radar Beacon | 1 | 6,166 | 158.39 | 0.65 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 2.30 | | | 114.43 |
| AN/APQ-126 Forward Looking Radar | 1 | 119,351 | 15.45 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 2.22 | 5.15 | | | - |
| AN/ASN-91 Tactical Computer | 1 | 100,932 | 49.45 | 0.16 | 0.87 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 2.83 | 4.95 | | | - |
| Air Data Computer System | 1 | 13,242 | 29.09 | 0.69 | 0.83 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 2.33 | 4.32 | | | 57.70 |
| AN/APN-190(V) Doppler Radar | 1 | 39,189 | 23.68 | 0.96 | 0.70 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 2.00 | 4.84 | | | - |
| AN/AVQ-7 Head-up Display | 1 | 50,148 | 13.66 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 5.68 | | | - |
| AN/ASN-90(V) Inertial Measurement Unit | 1 | 72,143 | 8.00 | 0.47 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 2.96 | 6.00 | | | 52.39 |
| AN/ASN-99 Projected Map Display | 1 | 22,011 | 58.26 | 0.49 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 1.77 | 3.07 | | | - |

1 All data from sources 4 and 5 except as noted
2 Estimated

*Table C-3.* CONVENTIONAL CLOSE AIR SUPPORT AVIONICS

| Nomenclature | Unit Cost | QPA | Annual Logistic Support Cost per Aircraft* |
|---|---|---|---|
| FM-622A VHF Communication Set | $ 7,503 | 1 | $ 321 |
| AN/ARC-51BX UHF Communication Set | 5,706 | 1 | 613 |
| AN/ARA-50 Direction Finder Group | 4,726 | 1 | 79 |
| AN/APX-72 IFF/Transponder Set | 3,219 | 1 | 181 |
| Horizontal Situation Indicator | 3,040 | 1 | 530 |
| AN/ARN-52 TACAN Set | 6,735 | 1 | 628 |
| AN/ARN-58A Instrument Landing System | 15,852 | 1 | 97 |
| AN/ARN-92 LORAN Set | 99,730 | 1 | 438 |
| AN/APN-141 Radar Altimeter | 6,290 | 1 | 427 |
| AN/APN-154 Beacon Radar | 6,166 | 1 | 44 |
| AN/APQ-126 Forward Looking Radar | 119,351 | 1 | 2,877 |
| AN/ASN-91 Tactical Computer Set | 100,932 | 1 | 888 |
| Air Data Computer System | 13,242 | 1 | 827 |
| AN/APN-190(V) Doppler Radar | 39,189 | 1 | 1,193 |
| AN/AVQ-7 Head-Up Display | 50,148 | 1 | 2,165 |
| AN/ASN-90(V) Inertial Measurement Unit | 72,143 | 1 | 4,006 |
| AN/ASN-99 Projected Map Display | 22,011 | 1 | 293 |
| Total avionics cost per aircraft = $575,983<br>Total annual logistic support cost per aircraft = $15,607 | | | |

*From data source 3.

*Table C-4.* CONVENTIONAL TRANSPORT AVIONICS

| Nomenclature | Unit Cost | QPA | Annual Logistic Support Cost per Aircraft* |
|---|---|---|---|
| HF-102 HF Communications | $ 35,768 | 2 | $ 2,067 |
| 618M-1C VHF Communications | 10,151 | 2 | 45 |
| 807A VHF Communications | 3,837 | 2 | 1,038 |
| AN/ARC-90 UHF Communications | 30,399 | 2 | 4,010 |
| AN/ARQ-23 UFH Communications | 3,087 | 1 | 19 |
| AN/APX-64 IFF Transponder | 9,635 | 1 | 225 |
| DFA-73A Receiver, ADF | 2,396 | 1 | 995 |
| 51Z-3 Marker Beacon Set | 808 | 1 | 74 |
| AN/ARN-21 TACAN System | 5,929 | 1 | 3,174 |
| 806A/51R6 VHF Navigation | 4,084 | 1 | 784 |
| 800B/51V-4 Glideslope System | 2,550 | 1 | 209 |
| AN/APN-59B Search Radar | 37,354 | 1 | 6,241 |
| AN/APN-157 LORAN Set | 36,601 | 1 | 1,654 |
| AN/APN-147 Doppler Radar Set | 32,795 | 1 | 3,201 |
| AN/ASN-35 Doppler Computer | 16,034 | 1 | 1,265 |
| AN/ASN-24 Doppler Computer | 102,965 | 1 | 5,206 |
| AN/APN-150 Radar Altimeter | 15,501 | 1 | 974 |

Total avionics cost per aircraft = $430,049

Total annual logistic support cost per aircraft = $31,181

*From data source 3.

*Table C-5.* CONVENTIONAL FIGHTER AVIONICS

| Nomenclature | Unit Cost | QPA | Annual Logistic Support Cost per Aircraft* |
|---|---|---|---|
| AN/ASN-46A Navigation System | $ 28,058 | 1 | $ 1,334 |
| AN/ASN-36 Inertial Navigation System | 82,073 | 1 | 6,466 |
| AN/ARN-92(V) LORAN Set | 99,730 | 1 | 438 |
| Miscellaneous Installed LORAN Equipment | 22,848 | 1 | 76 |
| AN/ASQ-19 Integrated Electronic Control | 23,866 | 1 | 2,104 |
| AN/APX-76 Interrogator Set | 12,546 | 1 | 1,094 |
| AN/APN-155 Radar Altimeter | 5,983 | 1 | 464 |
| SST-181X Radar Transponder Assembly | 2,846 | 1 | 28 |
| AN/AJB-7/A Altitude Reference Bombing Computer | 26,218 | 1 | 2,295 |
| Standby Altitude Indicator Set | 2,025 | 1 | 156 |
| AN/ASQ-91 Computer System | 41,256 | 1 | 829 |

Total avionics cost per aircraft = $347,449

Total annual logistic support cost per aircraft = $15,284

*From data source 3.

*Table C-6.* CONVENTIONAL BOMBER AVIONICS

| Nomenclature | Unit Cost | QPA | Annual Logistic Support Cost per Aircraft* |
|---|---|---|---|
| AN/ARC-65 HF Communication Set | $ 17,382 | 1 | $ 93 |
| AN/ARC-58 HF Communication Set | 11,788 | 1 | 1,141 |
| AN/ARC-34 UHF Communication Set | 5,102 | 2 | 1,855 |
| AN/APX-25 IFF System | 4,000 | 1 | 7 |
| AN/APX-64 IFF System | 9,635 | 1 | 446 |
| Navigation Instruments | 17,869 | 1 | 2,520 |
| AN/APN-69 Radar Beacon | 4,757 | 1 | 489 |
| AN/APN-150 Radar Altimeter | 6,969 | 1 | 889 |
| AN/ASQ-38 Bombing-Navigation System | 172,416 | 1 | 17,694 |
| AN/APN-89/108 Doppler Radar | 19,489 | 1 | 1,868 |
| MD-1 Astrocompass | 58,605 | 1 | 6,218 |
| Compass System | 14,880 | 1 | 2,750 |

Total avionics cost per aircraft = $347,994

Total annual logistic support cost per aircraft = $35,970

*From data source 3.

Table C-7. BEST-CASE CONVENTIONAL CAS DATA

| Nomenclature | Unit Cost | MFTBMA (Hours) | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|
| VHF Communications, FM-622 | $ 7,503 | 59.28 | See Table C-2 |
| UHF Communications, AN/ARC-164 | 6,000 | 600 | Estimated |
| Automatic Direction Finder, AN/ARA-50 | 4,726 | 73.19 | See Table C-2 |
| IFF Transponder, AN/APX-72 | 3,219 | 46.93 | See Table C-2 |
| Horizontal Situation Indicator | 3,040 | 200 | Best case of cost and MFTBMA |
| TACAN, ARN-XXX | 12,000 | 200 | Estimated |
| Instrument Landing System, AN/ARN-58A | 15,852 | 54.79 | See Table C-2 |
| Radar Altimeter, AN/APN-194 | 4,900 | 700 | Estimated |
| Forward Looking Radar | 119,351 | 90 | Best case of cost and MFTBMA |
| Tactical Computer | 36,130 | 1100 | Estimated |
| Air Data Computer | 13,242 | 157 | Best case of cost and MFTBMA |
| Head-Up Display | 50,148 | 155 | Best case of cost and MFTBMA |
| Inertial Measurement Unit | 72,143 | 300 | Best case of cost and MFTBMA |
| Projected Map Display AN/ASN-99 | 22,011 | 50.26 | See Table C-2 |
| Total | $370,265 | 7.78 | |

NOTE: The unit costs and MFTBMA for those equipments not included in Table C-2 are related to equipments from various aircraft. The cost and reliability values may not apply to the same equipment, but they are independent best-case values.

*APPENDIX D*

DAIS COST DATA




*Figure D-1.* CLOSE AIR SUPPORT UNIT ACQUISITION COST VS. LOT SIZE, 95% PRODUCTION CURVE




*Figure D-2.* CLOSE AIR SUPPORT UNIT ACQUISITION COST VS. LOT SIZE, 90% PRODUCTION CURVE




*Figure D-3.* CLOSE AIR SUPPORT UNIT ACQUISITION COST VS. LOT SIZE, 85% PRODUCTION CURVE

*Table D-1.* GENERAL DAIS HOT-BENCH REQUIREMENTS

| Item | Total Development Quantity | Estimated Unit Cost | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|
| Computer Maintenance Panel | 4 | $ 8,000 | Hot-Bench maintenance only |
| Training for Computer Engineers | - | 4,000 | |
| Computer Documentation | - | 50,000 | |
| Test Equipment for Multiplexer | 1 | 250,000 | Depot type |
| Multiplexer Documentation | - | 50,000 | |
| Software for Programmable Display Generator | 1 | 50,000 | Same for CAS system |
| Test Equipment for Cockpit Hardware | 1 | 100,000 | Depot type |
| Documentation for Cockpit Mockup | - | 25,000 | |

*Table D-2.* DAIS BEST-CASE DATA

| Equipment | Unit Cost |
|---|---|
| DAIS Core Elements | $411,741 |
| VHF Communications | 5,455 |
| UHF Communications | 4,244 |
| Automatic Direction Finder | 1,504 |
| IFF Transponder | 2,467 |
| TACAN | 10,742 |
| Instrument Landing System | 11,703 |
| Radar Altimeter | 1,597 |
| Forward Looking Radar | 63,440 |
| Inertial Measurement Unit | 67,771 |
| Total | 580,664 |

NOTE: The unit costs for the DAIS core elements are the same as in Table 2-3, 90% P.C. For the sensors that are different from those listed in Table 2-3, a cost estimate was made on the basis of relative cost of the sensor to the total system for the best-case systems shown in Table C-7.

*APPENDIX E*

BIBLIOGRAPHY


Edgar Ulsamer, "How Computers Will Fly Tomorrow's Airplanes," *Air Force Magazine* (July 1972), Vol. 55, No. 7, pp. 28-35.

Bernard List, "DAIS: A Major Crossroads in the Development of Avionic Systems," *Astronautics and Aeronautics* (January 1973), Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 55-61.

Philip J. Klass, "USAF Pushes Digital Avionics for Aircraft," *Aviation Week and Space Technology* (June 11, 1973), Volume 98, Number 24, pp. 60-63.

*Analysis of DAIS Mission Software (MS) Costs*, DAIS Software Report Number 4, August 2, 1974, DAIS Software Group.

K.J. Thurber, C.C. Huan, P.A. Houle, *et al., Aircraft Avionics Tradeoff Study*, Report Number ASD/XR 73-18, Contract F33615-73-C-4075, September 1973, Honeywell Inc., Systems and Research Center, 2700 Ridgway Parkway, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413.

Philip J. Klass, "USAF Seeks Greater Versatility," *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, (September 4, 1972), Volume 97, Number 10, pp. 64-67.

Larry Armstrong, "DAIS Takes Center Stage," *Electronics*, (February 6, 1975), Volume 3, pp. 76-77.

W.M. Peterson, H.G. Roberts, R.E. Reel, and T.F. Begley, *Comparison of Aircraft-System Field Experience*, Report Number AFFDL-TR-71-138, Contract F33615-72-C-1032, December 1971, The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.

W.C. Booton, D.H. Daggett, *et al., A Conceptual Definition Study for a Digital Avionics Information System (Approach I)*, Report Number AFAL-TR-73-300, Contract F33615-73-C-1244, October 1973, General Dynamics Convair Aerospace Division, Fort Worth, Texas.

Dr. C.T. Brodnax, *A Conceptual Study for a Digital Avionics Information System (Approach II)*, Report Number AFAL-TR-73-747, Contract F33615-73-C-1156, March 1974, Texas Instruments Incorporated, Equipment Group, 13500 North Central Expressway, Dallas, Texas 75222.

M.H. Hoffman, J.L. Perry, J.P. Goss, and J.R. Stansberry, *Standard Multiplex Interface Definition for Advanced Aircraft Avionics*, Report Number AFAL-TR-74-132, Contract F33615-73-C-1222, August 1974, SCI Systems Incorporated, Advanced Systems Department, Huntsville, Alabama.

*APPENDIX F*

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

| | |
|---|---|
| AC | Number of aircraft |
| AGE | Aerospace Ground Equipment |
| BLR | Base labor rate |
| $BMC_i$ | Base material cost per base maintenance action |
| $BMH_i$ | Average man-hours expended at the base to diagnose and repair the $i^{th}$ LRU |
| $CAB_j$ | Cost of $j^{th}$ piece of base-level AGE |
| $CAD_j$ | Cost of $j^{th}$ piece of depot-level AGE |
| CAS | Close Air Support |
| CITS | Central Integrated Test System |
| $COB_j$ | Annual operating and maintenance cost of $j^{th}$ piece of base-level AGE |
| $COND_i$ | Fraction of removals of the $i^{th}$ LRU that result in condemnation |
| CS | Cost of software associated with AGE |
| DAIS | Digital Avionics Information System |
| DLR | Depot labor rate |
| $DMC_i$ | Depot material cost per depot maintenance action |
| $DMH_i$ | Average man-hours expended at the depot to diagnose and repair the $i^{th}$ LRU |
| $DRCT_i$ | Average depot repair response time in months for the $i^{th}$ LRU |
| ECM | Electronic countermeasures (equipment) |
| FLA | Cost of flight-line AGE for the aircraft |
| H | Number of pages of depot-level TOs |

| | |
|---|---|
| i | Index of each LRU within the aircraft |
| $IC_i$ | Installation cost of the $i^{th}$ LRU |
| IFF | Identification, Friend or Foe (equipment) |
| $IMH_i$ | Average time in man-hours to perform corrective maintenance in place for the $i^{th}$ LRU |
| j | Index of each piece of AGE |
| J | Number of pages of base and intermediate-level TOs |
| K | Total number of AGE items |
| LORAN | Long Range Navigation (equipment) |
| LRR | Fraction of total maintenance actions that result in removal of Line Replaceable Unit (black box) |
| LRU | Line Replaceable Unit |
| M | Number of operating locations |
| $MFTBMA_i$ | Mean flight time between maintenance actions for the $i^{th}$ LRU |
| $MFTBMA_S$ | Mean flight time between maintenance actions for the entire system |
| MRF | Average man-hours per maintenance action for completing off-equipment maintenance records |
| MRO | Average man-hours per maintenance action for completing on-equipment maintenance records |
| N | Total number of LRUs |
| $NRTS_i$ | Fraction of removals of the $i^{th}$ LRU returned to the depot for repair |
| P.C. | Production Curve |
| PFFH | Peak force flying hours per month |
| PIUP | Operational service life of the system in years |
| PMB | Direct productive man-hours/man/year at base level |
| PMD | Direct productive man-hours/man/year at depot level |
| $QPA_i$ | Quantity per assembly of the $i^{th}$ LRU |
| RDT&E | Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation |
| $RIP_i$ | Fraction of maintenance actions for the $i^{th}$ LRU for which the LRU can be repaired in place |

| | |
|---|---|
| RMC | Unit cost of a repairable module |
| $RMH_i$ | Average time in man-hours to remove and replace the $i^{th}$ LRU for subsequent repair |
| $RTS_i$ | Fraction of removals for the $i^{th}$ LRU repaired at the base |
| SMH | Average time in man-hours to perform scheduled maintenance |
| SMI | Scheduled maintenance interval in flying hours |
| SR | Average man-hours per maintenance action for completing supply transaction records |
| TACAN | Tactical Air Navigation |
| TCB | Cost of training per man at base level |
| TCD | Cost of training per man at depot level |
| TD | Cost per original page of technical documentation |
| TDM | Time Division Multiplex |
| TE | Cost of training equipment |
| TFFH | Total force flying hours over life cycle |
| TM | Fraction of total number of modules that are designated as throwaways |
| TMC | Unit cost of a throwaway module |
| TR | Average man-hours per maintenance action for completing transportation forms |
| TRB | Annual turnover rate for base personnel |
| TRD | Annual turnover rate for depot personnel |
| $UC_i$ | Unit cost of the $i^{th}$ LRU |
| $UC_s$ | Unit cost of an entire system |
| UHF | Ultra high frequency |
| VHF | Very high frequency |



☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1975-671-602/8009